

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <b>Family Name</b>  | Norbury  |
| <b>Given Name</b>   | John   |
| <b>Person ID</b>  | 1286177  |
| <b>Title</b>  | Stakeholder Submission   |
| <b>Type</b>   | Web  |
| <b>Family Name</b>  | Norbury  |
| <b>Given Name</b>   | John   |
| <b>Person ID</b>  | 1286177  |
| <b>Title</b>  | JPA 19: Bamford / Norden   |
| <b>Type</b>   | Web  |
| <b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>   | Unsound  |
| <b>Soundness - Justified?</b>   | Unsound  |
| <b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>   | Unsound  |
| <b>Soundness - Effective?</b>   | Unsound  |
| <b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>  | Yes  |
| <b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>   | Yes  |
| <b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>                     | <p>Norden and Bamford roads are already unable to cope with current amount of traffic. Additional housing will increase this further and I don't see this as sustainable.</p> <p>Flooding is already a problem in this area and additions housing estates and tarmac surely will exacerbate flooding problems.</p> <p>The greenbelt areas are important leisure and sports facility areas for children and youths in the community, to lose these would have a negative effect on health and mental health for our young people.</p> |
| <b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b> | <p>There are obvious other brownfield sights that are available for these developments which would even be better and closer to local transport and main roads to motorways and the city center.</p>   |